Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/780,935	BUHRMAN, GARY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Andrea M. Valenti</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jonathan P. Soifer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 December 2005	Time: <u>10:00am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 4,15, 20, and 18		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner called for approval for an examiner's amendment to cancel claims 4 and 20 and to amend a typographical error in claim  18. Also, to change the word glue to adhesive in claims 1 and 15. Applicant approved these amendments.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
andre of M. Walenti		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)